DOI: 10.7759/cureus.38279

# **Healthcare Professionals' Culture Toward Reporting Errors in the Oncology Setting**

Abdullah Bany Hamdan <sup>1</sup>, Sherwynn Javison <sup>1</sup>, Musa Alharbi <sup>1</sup>

1. Comprehensive Cancer Center, King Fahad Medical City, Riyadh, SAU

Corresponding author: Abdullah Bany Hamdan, ahamdan@kfmc.med.sa

#### Review began 04/13/2023 Review ended 04/26/2023 Published 04/29/2023

#### © Copyright 2023

Bany Hamdan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

# **Abstract**

Introduction: Reporting an error during a hospital journey is crucial to reduce such errors' recurrence and to learn from events. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate oncology staff's attitudes, perceived barriers, and strategy towards reporting incidents and errors.

Methods: A cross-sectional online survey was conducted among health professionals providing care to cancer patients in a tertiary healthcare hospital in Saudi Arabia in 2019. Data were collected using an online self-administered questionnaire distributed to the targeted population.

Results: A total of 211 participated in this study. Sixty-five percent of responders reported that they felt a need to reveal errors. The leading perceived barrier to reporting the events was that the staff wanted to avoid getting into trouble (60%), followed by worries about legal action (59.2%). The top-ranking strategy to improve reporting by nurses was to have clear guidelines to report errors, education and feedback by doctors, and further education and training by allied healthcare.

Conclusion: The study revealed that healthcare professionals do possess a favorable attitude toward reporting errors. However, a major gap is still a barrier between attitude and practice, and this need creating a safe atmosphere where every healthcare professional feels safe and comfortable with reporting incidents is required to build a non-punitive environment to enhance the safety culture. On the other hand, the respondents listed different strategies to enhance reporting events and errors.

Categories: Oncology, Quality Improvement, Health Policy Keywords: professionals, oncology, culture, errors, safety, reporting

# Introduction

The oncology setting is complex and considered one of the high-risk areas with an increased chance of error due to the complexity of the therapeutic modalities and different processes [1]. Cancer patients and their caregivers are considered vulnerable as they are already in distress caused by the diagnosis [2]. Errors can occur anytime during the cancer patient's journey [3]. Voluntary reporting of medical errors by healthcare providers is an important strategy to enhance patient safety [4]. Nevertheless, few studies in the Middle East tackle healthcare provider attitudes, perceiving barriers to reporting incidence and errors in oncology settings.

Medical errors are considered the fifth leading cause of death in the U.S. [5], and the errors arise from gaps and problems within the system and human errors that pose potential safety risks [6]. Also, medical errors are responsible for up to 251,000 deaths annually, accounting for 9.5% of all deaths in the U.S. [7]. Healthcare institutions are developing strategies to combat all forms of error, but despite different efforts to eradicate them, they still occur within healthcare settings and affect patients and other personnel [8].

A practical approach to reporting errors, incidence, and consequences of errors is crucial to enhancing patient safety culture in organizations [9-11]. Moreover, focusing on the successes and failures of the process rather than blaming others [12]. Additionally, these reports will be helpful to learn from events or errors as a lesson learned [13,14] to find the correct cause and improve safety practices [9].

In Saudi Arabia, there is an increasing incidence rate of medical errors, and medical liability claims [15]. The error can be classified into risky behavior, near misses, minor injury, severe injury, or fatality within a healthcare facility [16]. Furthermore, the effects of errors can vary from non to temporary discomfort, permanent disability, or death, based on the intensity and proximity of the error to the patient [17].

However, a study showed that 50% to 96% of medical errors are not reported, and 96% are not evaluated [18]. Several studies have shown that certain factors can act as barriers to reporting medical errors, such as decreased motivation or willingness, unclear unit values, lack of systematic analysis of mistakes, work overload, a lack of teamwork [19], fear of blame [20], and disciplinary actions [21].

Healthcare institutions should create a safe atmosphere where every healthcare professional can feel comfortable voicing their concerns [16]. Incident reporting does not limit the work environment for those who make a report or commit an error. Instead, it is an opportunity to gather information on clinical incidents to ensure that similar incidents do not occur again [8]. At the same time, voluntary reporting is a process of reporting safety events by anyone, being directly or indirectly involved, in a confidential manner [22].

In Saudi Arabia, the Ministry of Health and Patient Safety Center designed a set of strategies and processes to encourage healthcare professionals to report incidents. Addressing the behaviors of health workers in reporting incidents is essential to create a lesson learned from mistakes, provide valuable information to enhance a safer environment, and prevent future errors [23].

The Cancer Center at King Fahad Medical City is one of the main Ministry of Health tertiary cancer care facilities. It provides services to referred adult and pediatric patients from all over the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia through a referral system. The center encompasses departments of Medical Oncology, Radiation, Hematology, and Palliative care; at present, there are 94 beds for inpatients and an average of 1500 outpatient visits monthly. The patients with various hematological disorders and solid tumor malignancies were admitted or visited the clinic for diagnostic workup treatment, including chemotherapy and management of complications. Thus, this study aimed to investigate oncology staff's attitudes, perceived barriers, and strategy toward reporting incidents and errors in the oncology setting.

# **Materials And Methods**

# Study design and setting

A descriptive, cross-sectional study was conducted among healthcare professionals at Comprehensive Cancer Center in a tertiary care hospital in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia in 2019. All healthcare professionals dealing with cancer care in the center, including physicians and other allied health professional teams working at the time of the survey, were included in the study. Staff members on leave, unwilling to participate, and not working in cancer care were excluded from the study.

#### Procedure and ethical considerations

Data was collected using a structured online questionnaire. An email was sent to all participants explaining the study's aim, nature, and benefits. As part of taking the consent form, whenever the target participants agree on the study's conditions, they voluntarily participate and may open the web link to direct the online questionnaire. The participants were asked to complete the self-assessment survey anonymously. The confidentiality and anonymity of the participants were maintained throughout the study process. The study was approved by the ethics committee at King Fahad Medical City (approval 17-106).

# Study instrument

The questionnaire was adapted based on the review of previous related studies [24-26] and reviewed by multiple expert panels, including oncologist quality and biostatistics experts. A pilot study was conducted with 25 participants to measure the validity and clarity of the study tool throughout the test and retest analysis. The assessments of the reliability and internal consistency of the questionnaire were performed using Cronbach's alpha test, and it was estimated at 0.80.

The survey instrument is composed of four sections: (1) sociodemographic information, (2) participants' attitudes towards incidence reporting, (3) perceived hindrances, and (4) strategies for improving reporting incidence

The first part includes a set of questions about the participant's social demographic profile, such as gender, age, occupation type, and years of experience. In contrast, the second part was the survey attitude components, comprising 10 items, and the participants were asked to answer the questions, which were measured using the five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5, 1: strongly disagree, 2: disagree, 3: neutral, 4: agree, and 5: strongly agree. The third part of the instrument included 15 survey questions about perceived hindrances to incidence reporting based on a three-point Likert scale (agree, neutral, and disagree). Additionally, the fourth part asked the respondents about the strategies to improve reporting errors.

#### Sample size and statistical analysis

Cochran's method was used to estimate the sample size with parameters of 95% confidence interval, 50% prevalence, and a population size of 300. It was calculated that 169 participants were needed for this study. Descriptive analysis using frequency and percentage distribution presented in frequency and percentage. Meanwhile, the analysis of variance (ANOVA)/Chi-square test was used to determine whether there is a significant association between responses to occupation and work experience. The value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS version 25.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used in analyzing the data.

# **Results**

# **Descriptive statistics**

A total number of 211 out of 250 eligible respondents participated in the study and have fully completed the questionnaire, providing an overall response rate of 84%. Most of the respondents were female (155, 73.5%), and almost half (107, 50.7%) were between the ages of 31 and 40. Nurses dominated the study population 139 (69.5%), followed by physicians 35 (16.5%), and other allied health care workers 37 (13.3%). Most respondents (125, 59.3%) had three to 10 years of work experience in cancer care (Table 1).

Characteristics	n (%)
Gender	
Male	56 (26.5%)
Female	155 (73.5%)
Age	
21–30	64 (30.3%)
31–40	107 (50.7%)
41–50	36 (17.1%)
51–60	4 (1.9%)
Occupation	
Nurses	139 (65.9%)
Physicians	35 (16.6%)
Allied Health professionals	37 (17.5%)
Experience	
≤ 2 years	52 (24.6%)
3–6 years	62 (29.4%)
7–10 years	63 (29.9%)
≥ 11 years	34 (16.1%)

TABLE 1: Participants' demographic characteristics

# Attitude of healthcare professionals toward incident reporting

Most respondents responded negatively about hiding or denying a reporting error (178, 84.4%), while 138 (65.4%) felt a need to reveal errors. More than half of the responders (53%) said that they were blamed by their colleagues when an error was made, while 92 (43.6%) never had this blame. Furthermore, 112 (53.1%) participants felt that revealing an error can humiliate a colleague. Moreover, 93 (44%) respondents have also reported that healthcare practitioners should not make errors (Table 2).

Statement	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
1. I would protect my self-interest ahead of the interests of the patient if I could, e.g., by hiding or denying an error.	109 (51.7%)	69 (32.7%)	14 (6.6%)	15 (7.1%)	4 (1.9%)
2. It would affect my identity as a staff to admit to an error.	74 (35.1%)	81 (38.4%)	35 (16.6%)	17 (8.1%)	4 (1.9%)
3. Others don't need to know about the errors I have made.	68 (32.2%)	70 (33.2%)	44(20.9%)	21 (10%)	8 (3.8%)
4. Disclosing an error, if you don't have to, is an optional act of heroism.	61 (28.9%)	77 (36.5%)	54(25.6%)	15 (7.1%)	4 (1.9%
5. If I admit an error, I will feel like a failure.	63 (29.9%)	81 (38.4%)	41(19.4%)	21 (10%)	5 (2.4%
6. It would affect my self-esteem to admit an error.	60 (28.4%)	82 (38.9%)	41(19.4%)	19 (9%)	9 (4.3%
7. Healthcare practitioner who makes an error is humiliated by their colleagues.	43 (20.4%)	69 (32.7%)	57(27.0%)	30 (14.2%)	12 (5.7%)
8. Healthcare practitioners have a culture of silence where errors are not talked about.	32 (15.2%)	62 (29.4%)	74(35.1%)	31 (14.7%)	12 (5.7%)
Healthcare practitioner who makes an error is blamed by their colleagues.	31 (14.7%)	61 (28.9%)	66(31.3%)	37 (17.5%)	16 (7.6%)
10. Healthcare practitioners should not make errors.	37 (17.5%)	56 (26.5%)	56 (26.5%)	49 (23.2%)	13 (6.2%)

**TABLE 2: Attitude toward incident reporting** 

On the other hand, Table 3 describes the attitudes of healthcare professionals by occupation; however, there were no significant differences between those groups.

Statement		Nurse		Phy	sician	Allie		
satement		n	%	n	%	n	%	p- value
	Disagree	117	84.2	29	82.9	32	86.5	
<ol> <li>I would protect my self-interest ahead of the interests of the patient if I could, e.g., by hiding or denying an error.</li> </ol>	Neutral	10	7.2	2	5.7	2	5.4	0.96
	Agree	12	8.6	4	11.4	3	8.1	
	Disagree	99	71.2	25	71.4	31	83.8	
2. It would affect my identity as a staff to admit to an error.	Neutral	24	17.3	6	17.1	5	13.5	0.51
	Agree	16	11.5	4	11.4	1	2.7	
	Disagree	94	67.6	20	57.1	24	64.9	
B. Others don't need to know about the errors I have made.	Neutral	27	19.4	10	28.6	7	18.9	0.75
	Agree	18	12.9	5	14.3	6	16.2	
	Disagree	98	70.5	18	51.4	22	59.5	
Disclosing an error, if you don't have to, is an optional act of heroism.	Neutral	28	20.1	14	40.0	12	32.4	0.14
	Agree	13	9.4	3	8.6	3	8.1	
	Disagree	92	66.2	27	77.1	25	67.6	
5. If I admit an error, I will feel like a failure.	Neutral	28	20.1	6	17.1	7	18.9	0.72
	Agree	19	13.7	2	5.7	5	13.5	
	Disagree	86	61.9	28	80.0	28	75.7	
6. It would affect my self-esteem to admit an error.	Neutral	29	20.9	7	20.0	5	13.5	0.06
	Agree	24	17.3	0	0.0	4	10.8	
	Disagree	73	52.5	16	45.7	23	62.2	
7. Healthcare practitioner who makes an error is humiliated by their colleagues.	Neutral	35	25.2	15	42.9	7	18.9	0.17
	Agree	31	22.3	4	11.4	7	18.9	
	Disagree	67	48.2	10	28.6	17	45.9	
3. Healthcare practitioners have a culture of silence where errors are not talked about.	Neutral	48	34.5	15	42.9	11	29.7	0.22
	Agree	24	17.3	10	28.6	9	24.3	
	Disagree	65	46.8	15	42.9	12	32.4	
). Healthcare practitioner who makes an error is blamed by their colleagues.	Neutral	40	28.8	11	31.4	15	40.5	0.61
	Agree	34	24.5	9	25.7	10	27.0	
	Disagree	57	41.0	17	48.6	19	51.4	
0. Healthcare practitioners should not make errors.	Neutral	33	23.7	11	31.4	12	32.4	0.13
	Agree	49	35.3	7	20.0	6	16.2	

TABLE 3: The attitude of healthcare professionals by occupation

# Perceived hindrances to reporting incidences

Based on the results, 128 (60.7%) mentioned that not wanting to get into trouble is the leading barrier to reporting incidence, followed by the worried about legal actions (125, 59.2%). Moreover, respondents have also declared their worries about disciplinary action (49.3%), which hinders them from reporting the event or

errors. Moreover, blaming unfairly for the event (48.3%), the reporting system taking too long to fill the report (36.3%), and no feedback after reporting the event (36.3%) are perceived as added barriers. On the other hand, 136 (64.5%) of all respondents disagree with the statement that they do not know who is responsible for making a report. Respondents' agreement with the perceived barrier's statements is shown in Table 4.

Statement	Disagree		Neutral		Agree	
Statement	n	%	n	%	n	%
1. I am worried about legal action.	39	18.5	47	22.3	125	59.
2. I don't want to get into trouble.	39	18.5	44	20.9	128	60.
3. My colleagues may be unsupportive.	69	32.7	58	27.5	84	39.
4. I am worried about disciplinary action.	52	24.6	55	26.1	104	49.
5. I may be blamed unfairly for the event.	56	26.5	53	25.1	102	48.
6. I do not want the case discussed in meetings.	84	39.8	55	26.1	72	34.
7. Adverse event reporting makes little contribution to the quality of care.	88	41.7	59	28	64	30.
8. I don't know whose responsibility it is to make a report.	136	64.5	37	17.5	38	18
9. Even if I don't give my details, I'm worried they'll track me down.	102	48.3	49	23.2	60	28.
10. The electronic incident reporting system takes too long to fill in, and I just don't have time.	84	39.8	56	26.5	71	33.
11. When I am busy at work, I forget to make a report.	86	40.8	60	28.4	65	30.
12. I don't feel confident that the information I provide will be kept confidential.	90	42.7	60	28.4	61	28.
13. I never get any feedback after I report an adverse event.	71	33.6	69	32.7	71	33.
14. I wonder about who else will have access to the information I disclose.	66	31.3	75	35.5	70	33.
15. As long as the healthcare practitioners involved learns from the incidents, it is unnecessary to discuss them further.	117	55.5	48	22.7	46	21.

**TABLE 4: Perceived hindrances to incident reporting** 

After stratifying by occupation, a statistically significant difference was found between physicians, nurses, and allied health in terms of barrier statements, including being blamed unfairly for the event (p-value 0.05), belittled as an adverse event (p-value 0.05), forgetting to make a report because the staff is busy at work (p-value 0.03), and confidentiality issues (p-value 0.03) as shown in Table  $\it 5$ .

		Nurse		Physicians		Alli	alth	
atements		n	%	n	%	n	%	p- value
. I am worried about legal action.	Disagree	22	15.8	6	17.1	11	29.7	0.129
	Neutral	28	20.1	12	34.3	7	18.9	
	Agree	89	64.0	17	48.6	19	51.4	
	Disagree	25	18.0	7	20.0	7	18.9	
. I don't want to get into trouble.	Neutral	27	19.4	8	22.9	9	24.3	0.925
	Agree	87	62.6	20	57.1	21	56.8	
	Disagree	40	28.8	12	34.3	17	45.9	
. My colleagues may be unsupportive.	Neutral	37	26.6	13	37.1	8	21.6	0.158
	Agree	62	44.6	10	28.6	12	32.4	

	Disagree	34	24.5	12	34.3	6	16.2	
4. I am worried about disciplinary action.	Neutral	29	20.9	10	28.6	16	43.2	0.038
	Agree	76	54.7	13	37.1	15	40.5	
	Disagree	34	24.5	12	34.3	10	27.0	
5. I may be blamed unfairly for the event.	Neutral	37	26.6	12	34.3	4	10.8	0.051
	Agree	68	48.9	11	31.4	23	62.2	
	Disagree	49	35.3	16	45.7	19	51.4	
6. I do not want the case discussed in meetings.	Neutral	37	26.6	8	22.9	10	27.0	0.30
	Agree	53	38.1	11	31.4	8	21.6	
	Disagree	53	38.1	22	62.9	13	35.1	
7. Adverse event reporting makes little contribution to the quality of care.	Neutral	38	27.3	7	20.0	14	37.8	0.05
	Agree	48	34.5	6	17.1	10	27.0	
	Disagree	98	70.5	19	54.3	19	51.4	
8. I don't know whose responsibility it is to make a report.	Neutral	19	13.7	8	22.9	10	27.0	0.11
	Agree	22	15.8	8	22.9	8	21.6	
	Disagree	61	43.9	17	48.6	24	64.9	
Even if I don't give my details, I'm worried they'll track me down.	Neutral	32	23.0	10	28.6	7	18.9	0.15
	Agree	46	33.1	8	22.9	6	16.2	
	Disagree	61	43.9	8	22.9	15	40.5	
10. The electronic incident reporting system takes too long to fill in, and I just don't have time.	Neutral	35	25.2	12	34.3	9	24.3	0.23
	Agree	43	30.9	15	42.9	13	35.1	
	Disagree	55	39.6	9	25.7	22	59.5	
11. When I am busy at work, I forget to make a report.	Neutral	41	29.5	10	28.6	9	24.3	0.03
	Agree	43	30.9	16	45.7	6	16.2	
	Disagree	50	36.0	19	54.3	21	56.8	
12. I don't feel confident that the information I provide will be kept confidential.	Neutral	40	28.8	11	31.4	9	24.3	0.03
	Agree	49	35.3	5	14.3	7	18.9	
	Disagree	53	38.1	10	28.6	8	21.6	
13. I never get any feedback after I report an adverse event.	Neutral		29.5	12	34.3	16	43.2	0.32
	Agree	45	32.4	13	37.1	13	35.1	
	Disagree				31.4	6	16.2	
14. I wonder about who else will have access to the information I disclose.	Neutral	46			37.1	16	43.2	0.25
The state of the s	Agree		31.7		31.4		40.5	0.20
	Disagree	83	59.7		48.6		45.9	
15. As long as the healthcare practitioners involved learns from the incidents, it is unnecessary	Neutral	25	18.0		37.1	10	27.0	O 11
to discuss them further.			22.3		14.3		27.0	U.11
	Agree	31	22.3	5	14.3	10	21.0	

TABLE 5: Perceived hindrances to incident reporting per occupation

# Strategies to improve incident reporting compliance ranked by occupation

Table 6 shows the responses to the statements for the best strategies toward improving incident reporting compliance by participant occupation. Nurses said that the precise guidelines for error reporting (114) are the first strategies to improve incident reporting, followed by the ability to report anonymously (112), then individualized feedback after submitting a report (112). Meanwhile, doctors said that the purpose of reporting (32), which is the first strategy of improving incidence, reporting following giving feedback about medical error reports (31), and seniors encouraging error reporting (31). In addition to this, the top strategies chosen by allied healthcare were education about the purpose of reporting (31), training in electronic incident reporting systems (31), and clear guidelines for error reporting (30).

Statements	Nurse		Physician		Allied Heal	lth	
Statements	Frequency	Rank	Frequency	Rank	Frequency	Ranl	
Give generalized feedback about medical error reports.	108	5	31	2	27	4	
Role models, e.g., senior colleagues, and departmental directors who openly encourage reporting.	111	3	31	2	26	5	
3. Acquire legal protection of information provided for the purpose of court investigation.	105	8	29	4	28	3	
4. Ability to report anonymously.	112	2	24	8	18	9	
5. Clear guidelines about what adverse events and errors to report.	114	1	30	3	30	2	
6. Information on how confidentiality will be maintained if you supply your name.	109	4	28	5	23	8	
7. Individualized feedback to you about the reports you submit.	112	2	27	6	25	6	
8. More support from colleagues.	106	7	26	7	24	7	
9. Less blame is attached to those who report errors.	97	9	27	6	23	8	
10. Education about the purpose of reporting.	111	3	32	1	31	1	
11. Further training on how to use the electronic incident reporting system.	107	6	26	7	31	1	

TABLE 6: Strategies to improve incident reporting compliance

# **Discussion**

This study offered a unique opportunity to examine healthcare professionals' attitudes and perceived hindrances. In addition, it addresses strategies to enhance the incident reporting culture for healthcare professionals at an oncology center in Saudi Arabia. Moreover, the significance of this study is to provide the necessary information to empower decision-makers seeking to improve the incident reporting culture within the field of oncology.

Our study revealed that most nurses (67.6%), physicians (57.1%), and allied healthcare professionals (64.9%) agreed to report errors. A similar study conducted in six South Australian hospitals revealed similar positive attitudes from physicians and nurses [3]; however, nurses reported errors more often than physicians because of a culture difference [17]. Moreover, around 44% of respondents reported not feeling blamed by colleagues after an error. A similar study sought to determine attitudes toward incident reporting and found that 42.4% of health practitioners felt a non-punitive culture of reporting in their workplace [6].

Medical errors are inevitable, but expecting the unavoidable does not mean succumbing patients to preventable errors and exposing institutional reputations to greater risk. A patient should never be harmed by the care that the patient was told to receive. Enhancing clinical coordination between multidisciplinary teams can help uplift the patient safety culture. Moreover, full engagement by interdisciplinary teams in patient admission, handover, and discharge connects the planning process with a review of the risks and benefits of certain medical decisions. Through integrating multidisciplinary teams, errors can be detected, and the mindset can shift toward a willingness to report incidents.

Healthcare providers consider patient safety a top priority and responsibility. Organizing a process for active incident reporting is the best way to reconfigure our culture of safety. A study showed that physicians are the least likely professionals to report safety issues unless an incident violates established policy or protocol. Moreover, most healthcare staff report incidents to colleagues rather than through the organization's

incident reporting system [8,10]. This behavior creates a significant risk for patients.

Several studies have explored barriers to incident reporting in healthcare settings [27]. These barriers are eight of 10 different between organizations [10]. According to health professionals' perception, healthcare workers tend not to report incidents during their practice or duty because they feel such actions can impact them negatively. According to our findings, 60.7% of respondents did not report it because it might cause personal trouble. This finding is like previous research revealing that organizational culture can negatively influence rates of incident reporting [20]. Other perceived barriers have been possible legal ramifications or disciplinary actions. In our study, 59.2% of respondents were worried about legal actions, and nearly half were concerned about disciplinary action because of making a report. Like previously published research, "the presence of a punitive response to an error" at an organization can affect whether an incident is reported [21]. Furthermore, there were significant differences between nurses, physicians, and allied healthcare professionals regarding barriers related to being blamed unfairly for the event (p-value 0.05), belittling (p-value 0.05), forgetting to make a report when busy (p-value 0.03), and confidentiality issues (p-value 0.03).

Participants suggested several strategies to improve compliance with incident reporting. Based on our findings, physicians and allied healthcare professionals strongly preferred education to report errors, while nurses preferred having clear guidelines. This indicates that nurses prefer a more structured approach than the other two groups, even while reporting incidents. Nurses are trained to follow strict medical guidelines and protocols, evident in their reporting culture. Additionally, all respondents' groups agreed that obtaining added peer support is a good strategy, proving that teamwork is vital in any practice. Similar studies show that creating the right environment is part of the success of an organization. Specifically, having a common goal and supportive peers makes a synergetic climate that can produce a team to achieve a unified vision [28], and this is important to address safety concerns like reporting incidents that occur at the workplace.

The study results revealed significant strategies to enhance reporting events and errors, such as supplying clear guidelines about adverse events and errors to report, the ability to report anonymously, providing feedback about submitted reports, encouraging reporting, and preventing palming to those who report errors, providing education and training about the purpose of reporting, and on how to use an electronic incident reporting system.

The study had a few limitations, like this study was conducted at one center. Another limitation is that the number of reported errors was not evaluated to be compared with perceived attitudes and barriers to reporting errors. Nevertheless, this study provides important insights into healthcare providers' attitudes, hindrances, and strategies for reporting incidents and errors in the cancer setting. We encourage further detailed studies to be carried out across healthcare cancer institutes nationwide to address this crucial issue of reporting errors. Furthermore, it emphasizes the need to implement education and training programs for healthcare professionals to report errors.

#### **Conclusions**

Adverse events and errors are preventable, and healthcare professionals should be proactive in reporting events and errors to enhance patient safety in healthcare organizations. Therefore, healthcare organizations must support and implement patient safety programs like having anonymous error reporting systems and building non-punitive atmospheres to ensure patient safety concerns and feedback are reported. Furthermore, as addressed in our study, standardized strategies to overcome barriers will help decision-makers make the necessary investment in creating the most impactful patient safety program.

#### **Additional Information**

#### **Disclosures**

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Institutional Review Board, King Fahad Medical City issued approval 17-106. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

#### **Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank and acknowledge King Fahad Medical City (KFMC) Research Center for their tremendous support.

#### References

- Swanson SL, Cavanaugh S, Patino F, Swanson JW, Abraham C, Clevenger C, Fisher E: Improving incident reporting in a hospital-based radiation oncology department: the impact of a customized crew resource training and event reporting intervention. Cureus. 2021, 13:e14298. 10.7759/cureus.14298
- 2. Stark DP, House A: Anxiety in cancer patients. Br J Cancer. 2000, 83:1261-7. 10.1054/bjoc.2000.1405
- Gallagher TH, Waterman AD, Ebers AG, Fraser VJ, Levinson W: Patients' and physicians' attitudes regarding the disclosure of medical errors. JAMA. 2003, 289:1001-7. 10.1001/jama.289.8.1001
- To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System. Kohn LT, Corrigan JM, Donaldson MS (ed): National Academy Press, Washington, DC; 2000. 10.17226/9728
- Sammer CE, Lykens K, Singh KP, Mains DA, Lackan NA: What is patient safety culture? A review of the literature. J Nurs Scholarsh. 2010, 42:156-65. 10.1111/j.1547-5069.2009.01330.x
- Carayon P, Wood KE: Patient safety: the role of human factors and systems engineering . Stud Health Technol Inform. 2010, 153:23-46.
- 7. Anderson JG, Abrahamson K: Your health care may kill you: medical errors . Stud Health Technol Inform.  $2017, 234:13-7.\ 10.3233/978-1-61499-742-9-13$
- Vincent C, Stanhope N, Crowley-Murphy M: Reasons for not reporting adverse incidents: an empirical study. J Eval Clin Pract. 1999, 5:13-21. 10.1046/j.1365-2753.1999.00147.x
- Kaldjian LC, Jones EW, Wu BJ, Forman-Hoffman VL, Levi BH, Rosenthal GE: Reporting medical errors to improve patient safety: a survey of physicians in teaching hospitals. Arch Intern Med. 2008, 168:40-6. 10.1001/archinternmed.2007.12
- Uribe CL, Schweikhart SB, Pathak DS, Dow M, Marsh GB: Perceived barriers to medical error reporting: an exploratory investigation. J Healthc Manag. 2002, 47:263-79.
- 11. Weingart SN, Wilson RM, Gibberd RW, Harrison B: Epidemiology of medical error. BMJ. 2000, 320:774-7. 10.1136/bmj.320.7237.774
- Fung WM, Koh SS, Chow YL: Attitudes and perceived barriers influencing incident reporting by nurses and their correlation with reported incidents: a systematic review. JBI Libr Syst Rev. 2012, 10:1-65.
   10.11124/ibisrir-2012-44
- Smith AF, Mahajan RP: National critical incident reporting: improving patient safety. Br J Anaesth. 2009, 103:623-5. 10.1093/bja/aep273
- 14. Reason J: Human error: models and management. BMJ. 2000, 320:768-70. 10.1136/bmj.320.7237.768
- Al-Saeed AH: Medical liability litigation in Saudi Arabia. Saudi J Anaesth. 2010, 4:122-6. 10.4103/1658-354X.71133
- Diaz JH: Estimating hospital deaths due to medical errors: preventability is in the eye of the reviewer . Surv Anesthesiol. 2002, 46:180-1.
- Leonard M, Graham S, Bonacum D: The human factor: the critical importance of effective teamwork and communication in providing safe care. Qual Saf Health Care. 2004, 13 Suppl 1:i85-90.
   10.1136/ghc.13.suppl 1.i85
- 18. The Value of Close Calls in Improving Patient Safety: Learning How to Avoid and Mitigate Patient Harm. Wu AW (ed): Joint Commission Resources, Oakbrook Terrace; 2011.
- Albalawi A, Kidd L, Cowey E: Factors contributing to the patient safety culture in Saudi Arabia: a systematic review. BMJ Open. 2020, 10:e037875. 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-037875
- 20. Hwang JI, Lee SI, Park HA: Barriers to the operation of patient safety incident reporting systems in Korean general hospitals. Healthc Inform Res. 2012, 18:279-86. 10.4258/hir.2012.18.4.279
- VanGeest JB, Cummins DS: An Educational Needs Assessment for Improving Patient Safety. White Paper Report 3. National Patient Safety Foundation, Boston; 2003.
- Saudi Patient Safety Taxonomy. (2020). Accessed: Jan 12, 2020: https://www.moh.gov.sa/en/Ministry/MediaCenter/Ads/Documents/Saudi-Patient-Safety-Taxonomy.pdf.
- 23. Banakhar MA, Tambosi AI, Asiri SA-A, Banjar YB, Essa YA: Barriers of reporting errors among nurses in a tertiary hospital. Int J Nurs Clin Pract. 2017, 4:1-7. 10.15344/2394-4978/2017/245
- 24. Heard GC, Sanderson PM, Thomas RD: Barriers to adverse event and error reporting in anesthesia . Anesth Analg. 2012, 114:604-14. 10.1213/ANE.0b013e31822649e8
- Malik MR, Alam AY, Mir AS, Malik GM, Abbas SM: Attitudes and perceived barriers of tertiary level health professionals towards incident reporting in Pakistan. N Am J Med Sci. 2010, 2:100-5. 10.4297/najms.2010.2100
- 26. Vifladt A, Simonsen BO, Lydersen S, et al.: The culture of incident reporting and feedback: a cross-sectional study in a hospital setting. Open J Nurs. 2015, 5:1242. 10.4236/ojn.2015.511111
- Kousgaard MB, Joensen AS, Thorsen T: Reasons for not reporting patient safety incidents in general practice: a qualitative study. Scand J Prim Health Care. 2012, 30:199-205. 10.3109/02813432.2012.732469
- 28. Lawford GR: Beyond success: achieving synergy in teamwork . J Oual Partic. 2003, 26:23.